

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,932	12/12/2003	Chi Fai Ho	IPLN.P0001C	2247
7590 05/20/2008 Peter Tong		8	EXAM	INER
1807 Limetre			LEIVA, FRANK M	
Mountain Vie	w, CA 94040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	f				

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/734,932	HO ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
FRANK M. LEIVA	3714				

	FRANK M. LEIVA	3/14							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of sunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMADEMENTS. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMENDMENTS New proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant and the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to constant and the proposed amendment and the proposed amendmen	sideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the							
NOTE:	 owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	at canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) ejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		de entered and an e	cpianation of						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1 \(\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but Claims have not been amended to better the application persuasive. It is undertood by the examiner that neither ra are within the teachinas of both references. The applicar and the examiner continues to affirm that the combinatio claims. Thus, the examiner deems the rejections proper.	and the 35 USC 103 (a) combinative ference teaches the invention by at has failed to show limitations that n of theese references would predict that it is not the second to show the second that is not the second to show the second to show the second that is not that is not the second that is not that is not the second t	on of teachings argun itself but all of the indi are not shown in bott	nent is not vidual limitations n references,						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)
/Robert E Pezzuto/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080517